

Quantization of **Locally** Symmetric Kähler Manifolds

¹ Kentaro Hara and ²Akifumi Sako

¹Tokyo University of Science(Doctor course student)

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Division II,
Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

3/6/2017

Section 0

Talk plan

- 1 The deformation quantization with separation of variables → Our **main** theorem!
- 2 One and two dimensional cases
→ One: trivial but . . .
- 3 A metric of a complex Grassmann manifold
- 4 Deformation quantization for complex Grassmann manifold : $\mathbb{C}P^n$: not so hard but . . .

Section 1

The deformation **quantization** with separation of variables

Locally symmetric Kähler manifold

Definition (Locally symmetric Kähler manifold)

A Riemannian(Kähler) manifold (M, g) is called a locally symmetric Riemannian(Kähler) manifold when

$$\nabla_m R_{ijk}{}^l = 0 \quad (\forall i, j, k, l, m).$$

Example (Locally symmetric Kähler manifold)

Examples

- *Symmetric Kähler manifold*
(Kähler homogeneous space with a transitive action)
- *Compact Riemann surface*
- *Complex Grassmann manifold (including projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$)*
- *and more ...*

Deformation quantization

Definition (Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds)

\mathcal{F} is defined as a set of formal power series:

$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f \mid f = \sum_k f_k \hbar^k, f_k \in C^\infty(M) \right\}$. A star product $* : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, is defined as

$$f * g = \sum_k C_k(f, g) \hbar^k$$

such that the product satisfies the following conditions.

- 1 $(\mathcal{F}, +, *)$ is a (noncommutative) algebra.
- 2 $C_k(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bidifferential operator.
- 3 C_0 and C_1 are defined as

$$C_0(f, g) = fg, \quad C_1(f, g) - C_1(g, f) = \{f, g\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\{f, g\}$ is the Poisson bracket.

- 4 $f * 1 = 1 * f = f$.

A star product with separation of variables

Definition (A star product with separation of variables)

$*$ is called a star product with separation of variables on a Kähler manifold M when

$$a * f = af, f * b = fb$$

where $\bar{\partial}a = \partial b = 0$.

Definition (Def of L_f, R_f)

$$L_f g := f * g, \quad R_g f := f * g$$

Remark (Associativity \iff Commutativity)

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g) * h &= f * (g * h) \\ \iff R_h(L_f g) &= L_f(R_h g) \iff [L_f, R_h] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Our main theorem

We use

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ A \mid A = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}, \quad a_{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}(M) \right\},$$

where $D^{\vec{j}} := g^{\vec{j}k} \partial_k$ and α is a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. In this article, we also use the Einstein summation convention over repeated multi-indices and $a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} := \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}$.

Our main theorem

When the star product with separation of variables for smooth functions f and g on a local symmetric Kähler manifold is given as

$$f * g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \left(D^{\vec{\alpha}_n} f \right) \left(D^{\vec{\beta}_n^*} g \right),$$

these smooth functions $T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n$, which are covariantly constants, are determined by the following recurrence relations for $\forall i$:

Recurrence relations of our main theorem

Recurrence relations of our main theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{d=1}^N \hbar g_{i\bar{d}} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_d \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_i}^{n-1} \\
 &= \beta_i T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{p=1}^N \frac{\hbar (\beta_k^n - \delta_{kp} - \delta_{ik} + 1) (\beta_k^n - \delta_{kp} - \delta_{ik} + 2)}{2} R_{\vec{p}}^{\bar{k}\bar{k}} \bar{i} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_p + 2\vec{e}_k - \vec{e}_i}^n \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N-k} \sum_{p=1}^N \hbar (\beta_k^n - \delta_{kp} - \delta_{ik} + 1) (\beta_{k+l}^n - \delta_{(k+l),p} - \delta_{i,(k+l)} + 1) \\
 &\quad \times R_{\vec{p}}^{\overline{k+l}\bar{k}} \bar{i} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_p + \vec{e}_k + \vec{e}_{k+l} - \vec{e}_i}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

[Karabegov [15]]. For an arbitrary Kähler form ω , there exist a star product with separation of variables $*$ and it is constructed as follows. Let f be an element of \mathcal{F} and $A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ be a differential operator whose coefficients depend on f i.e.

$$A_n = a_{n,\alpha}(f)D^\alpha, \quad D^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n (D^{\bar{i}})^{\alpha_i}, \quad D^{\bar{i}} = g^{\bar{i}l} \partial_l, \quad (2)$$

where α is an multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then,

$$L_f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^n A_n \quad (3)$$

is uniquely determined such that it satisfies the following conditions.

following conditions

Theorem (following conditions)

For $R_{\partial_T \Phi} = \partial_T \Phi + \hbar \partial_T$,

$$[L_f, R_{\partial_T \Phi}] = 0, \quad L_f 1 = f * 1 = f. \quad (4)$$

Then the star products are given by $L_f g := f * g$ and the star products satisfy the associativity;

$$L_h(L_g f) = h * (g * f) = (h * g) * f = L_{L_h g} f. \quad (5)$$

Recall that each two of $D^{\bar{i}}$ commute each other, so if a multi index α is fixed then the A_n is uniquely determined. (4)-(5) imply that $L_f g = f * g$ gives deformation quantization.

Fact (Transition maps)

The following formulas are given in [30]. For $U_a \cap U_b \neq \emptyset$

$$f *_b g(w, \bar{w}) = \phi_{a,b}^* f *_a g(w, \bar{w}) = \phi_{a,b}^* f(w(z), \bar{w}(\bar{z})) *_a g(w(z), \bar{w}(\bar{z}))$$

We need to solve

Remark

We need to solve

$$[L_f, R_{\partial_T \Phi}] = [L_f, \partial_T \Phi] + [L_f, \hbar \partial_T] = 0.$$

Definition (Twisted symbol)

A map from differential operators to formal **polynomials** is defined as

$$\sigma(A; \xi) := \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha},$$

where

$$A = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}.$$

This map is called “twisted symbol”. It becomes easier to calculate commutators by using the following theorem.

Useful formulae for the first term $[L_f, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi]$

Remark

There are some useful formulae. $D^{\bar{l}}$ satisfies the following equations.

$$[D^{\bar{l}}, D^{\bar{m}}] = 0 \quad , \quad [D^{\bar{l}}, \partial_{\bar{m}}\Phi] = \delta^{\bar{l}\bar{m}}, \quad \forall l, m, \quad (6)$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$ and Φ is a Kähler potential . Using them, one can construct a star product as a differential operator L_f .

Proposition (Karabegov [15])

Let $a(\xi)$ be a twisted symbol of an operator A . Then the twisted symbol of the operator $[A, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi]$ is equal to $\partial a / \partial \xi^{\bar{i}}$;

$$\sigma([A, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi]) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\bar{i}}} \sigma(A).$$

This proposition follows from From (6), i.e. $\sigma([D^{\bar{l}}, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi]) = \delta^{\bar{l}\bar{i}}$.

The first term $[L_f, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi]$

Proposition (The first term)

Let f and g be smooth functions on a locally symmetric Kähler manifold M and L_f be a left star product by f given as (??). Then

$$[L_f, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi] g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*} \beta_i^n T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \left(D^{\vec{\alpha}_n} f \right) \left(D^{\vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_i} g \right) \quad (7)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma([L_f, \partial_{\bar{i}}\Phi]) &= \frac{\partial \sigma(L_f)}{\partial \xi^{\bar{i}}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*} \beta_i^n T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \left(D^{\vec{\alpha}_n} f \right) \left(\xi^{\bar{1}\beta_1^n} \dots \xi^{\bar{i}\beta_i^{n-1}} \dots \xi^{\bar{N}\beta_N^n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The second term $[L_f, \hbar\partial_{\bar{i}}]$

Proposition (The second term)

Let f and g be smooth functions on a *locally symmetric Kähler manifold* M . Let L_f be a left star product by f given as (??). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [L_f, \hbar\partial_{\bar{i}}]g \\
 &= \hbar \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*} \frac{\beta_k^n (\beta_k^n - 1)}{2} R_{\vec{\rho}}^{\bar{k}\bar{k}}{}_{\bar{i}} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \left(D^{\vec{\alpha}_n} f \right) \left(D^{\vec{\beta}_n^* + \vec{e}_{\rho} - \vec{e}_k} g \right) \\
 &+ \hbar \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N-k} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*} \beta_k^n \beta_{k+l}^n R_{\vec{\rho}}^{\overline{k+l}\bar{k}}{}_{\bar{i}} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \left(D^{\vec{\alpha}_n} f \right) \left(D^{\vec{\beta}_n^* + \vec{e}_{\rho} - \vec{e}_k} g \right) \\
 &- \hbar \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_{n-1} \vec{\beta}_{n-1}^*} \sum_{d=1}^N g_{\bar{i}d} T_{\vec{\alpha}_{n-1} \vec{\beta}_{n-1}^*}^{n-1} \left(D^{\vec{\alpha}_{n-1} + \vec{e}_d} f \right) \left(D^{\vec{\beta}_{n-1}^*} g \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The second term $[L_f, \hbar\partial_{\bar{j}}]$

The following formulas are given in [28].

Fact ($D \doteq \nabla$)

For smooth functions f and g on a locally symmetric Kähler manifold, the following formulas are given.

$$\nabla_{\bar{j}_1} \cdots \nabla_{\bar{j}_n} f = g_{l_1 \bar{j}_1} \cdots g_{l_n \bar{j}_n} D^{l_1} \cdots D^{l_n} f$$

$$\nabla_{k_1} \cdots \nabla_{k_n} g = g_{\bar{m}_1 k_1} \cdots g_{\bar{m}_n k_n} D^{\bar{m}_1} \cdots D^{\bar{m}_n} g$$

$$D^{l_1} \cdots D^{l_n} f = g^{l_1 \bar{j}_1} \cdots g^{l_n \bar{j}_n} \nabla_{\bar{j}_1} \cdots \nabla_{\bar{j}_n} f$$

$$D^{\bar{m}_1} \cdots D^{\bar{m}_n} g = g^{\bar{m}_1 k_1} \cdots g^{\bar{m}_n k_n} \nabla_{k_1} \cdots \nabla_{k_n} g.$$

The second term $[L_f, \hbar \partial_{\bar{l}}]$

If $\beta_a^n > 1$ and $\sum_{k=a+1}^N \beta_k^n > 0$, by using Fact 2, we obtain (??) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m=1}^{\beta_a^n} (D^{\bar{a}})^{m-1} [D^{\bar{a}}, \nabla_{\bar{l}}] (D^{\bar{a}})^{\beta_a^n - m} (D^{\overline{a+1}})^{\beta_{a+1}^n} \dots (D^{\bar{N}})^{\beta_N^n} g \quad (8) \\
 = & \sum_{m=1}^{\beta_a^n} (D^{\bar{a}})^{m-1} g^{\bar{a}b} g^{\bar{a}k_{a,1}} \dots g^{\bar{a}k_{a,\beta_a^n - m}} [\nabla_b, \nabla_{\bar{l}}] \nabla_{k_{a,1}} \dots \nabla_{k_{a,\beta_a^n - m}} (D^{\overline{a+1}})^{\beta_{a+1}^n} \dots (D^{\bar{N}})^{\beta_N^n} g \\
 = & \sum_{m=1}^{\beta_a^n} \sum_{n_a=1}^{\beta_a^n - m} (D^{\bar{a}})^{m-1} R_{\bar{l}}^{\bar{a}\bar{a}} \bar{c} D^{\bar{c}} (D^{\bar{a}})^{\beta_a^n - m} (D^{\overline{a+1}})^{\beta_{a+1}^n} \dots (D^{\bar{N}})^{\beta_N^n} g \\
 & + \sum_{m=1}^{\beta_a^n} \sum_{j=a+1}^N \sum_{\alpha_n \bar{\beta}_n^*} \beta_j^n R_{\bar{l}}^{\bar{a}\bar{j}} \bar{c} D^{\bar{c}} (D^{\bar{a}})^m (D^{\overline{a+1}})^{\beta_{a+1}^n} \dots (D^{\bar{j}})^{\beta_j^n - 1} \dots (D^{\bar{N}})^{\beta_N^n} g. \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used

$$[\nabla_i, \nabla_j] \nabla_{k_1} \dots \nabla_{k_m} f = - \sum_{n=1}^m R_{ijk_n}{}^l \nabla_{k_1} \dots \nabla_{k_{n-1}} \nabla_l \nabla_{k_{n+1}} \dots \nabla_{k_m} f. \quad (10)$$

for $m \geq 1$.

Section 2

One and two dimensional cases

One dimensional case

The Scalar curvature R is defined as $R = g^{\bar{i}j} R_{i\bar{j}} = R_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}i}$.

Theorem (Noncommutative Riemannian surfaces)

Let M be a one-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold ($N = 1$) and f and g be smooth functions on M . The star product with separation of variables for f and g can be described as

$$f * g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(g^{1\bar{1}} \right)^n \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2\hbar}{2k + \hbar k(k-1)R} \right\} \left\{ \left(g^{1\bar{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^n f \right\} \left\{ \left(g^{1\bar{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)^n g \right\} \right]$$

where

$$R = R_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}1\bar{1}}$$

Two dimensional case (the first term)

Next, we discuss star products on general two-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifolds.

According to Proposition ??, for a two-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold M , $T^1_{\vec{\alpha}_1 \vec{\beta}_1^*}$ is given as

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^1_{(1,0),(1,0)} & T^1_{(1,0),(0,1)} \\ T^1_{(0,1),(1,0)} & T^1_{(0,1),(0,1)} \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} g_{1\bar{1}} & g_{1\bar{2}} \\ g_{2\bar{1}} & g_{2\bar{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we estimate $T^2_{\vec{\alpha}_2 \vec{\beta}_2^*}$.

Two dimensional case

Proposition

Let M be a two-dimensional locally symmetric Kähler manifold and f and g be smooth functions on M . $T_{\vec{\alpha}_2 \vec{\beta}_2^*}^2$ given in (??) is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} T_{(2,0),(2,0)}^2 & T_{(2,0),(1,1)}^2 & T_{(2,0),(0,2)}^2 \\ T_{(1,1),(2,0)}^2 & T_{(1,1),(1,1)}^2 & T_{(1,1),(0,2)}^2 \\ T_{(0,2),(2,0)}^2 & T_{(0,2),(1,1)}^2 & T_{(0,2),(0,2)}^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} (g_{\bar{1}1})^2 & g_{\bar{1}1}g_{\bar{2}1} & (g_{\bar{2}1})^2 \\ 2g_{\bar{1}1}g_{\bar{1}2} & g_{\bar{2}1}g_{\bar{1}2} + g_{\bar{1}1}g_{\bar{2}2} & 2g_{\bar{2}1}g_{\bar{2}2} \\ (g_{\bar{1}2})^2 & g_{\bar{2}1}g_{\bar{2}2} & (g_{\bar{2}2})^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} 2 + \hbar R_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} & \hbar R_{\bar{2}\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} & \hbar R_{\bar{2}\bar{2}}^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} \\ \hbar R_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} & 1 + \hbar R_{\bar{2}\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} & \hbar R_{\bar{2}\bar{2}}^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} \\ \hbar R_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} & \hbar R_{\bar{2}\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} & 2 + \hbar R_{\bar{2}\bar{2}}^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Section 3

A **metric** of a complex Grassmann manifold

Complex Grassmann manifold $G_{p,q}$

Definition (Complex Grassmann manifold as a set)

Complex Grassmann manifold $G_{p,q}$ is defined as a set of the whole p dimensional part vector space of $p + q$ dimensional vector space.

$$G_{p,q} := \{ V \subset \mathbb{C}^{p+q} : \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = p \}$$

In this section, capital letter indices $A, B, C \dots$ mean $aa', bb', cc' \dots$. In the inhomogeneous coordinates $z^I := z^{ii'}$, $\bar{z}^{\bar{I}} := \bar{z}^{\bar{i}\bar{i}'}$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p, i' = 1, 2, \dots, q$), the Kähler potential of $G_{p,q}$ is given as

$$\Phi = \ln \left| E_q + Z^\dagger Z \right|, \quad (11)$$

where $Z = \phi(Y) = (z^I) \in M(q, p; \mathbb{C})$ and $E_q \in M(q, q; \mathbb{C})$ is the unite matrix. From (11), the following facts are derived.

Fact (Fubini-Study metric)

The Fubini-Study metric $(g_{I\bar{J}})$ is

$$ds^2 = 2g_{I\bar{J}}dz^I d\bar{z}^{\bar{J}},$$

then

$$g^{I\bar{J}} = \left(\delta_{ij} + z^{ik'} \bar{z}^{jk'} \right) \left(\delta_{i'j'} + \bar{z}^{kj'} z^{ki'} \right).$$

where

$$g_{I\bar{J}} := g_{ii'\bar{j}\bar{j}'} = \partial_I \partial_{\bar{J}} \Phi = a^{ji} b^{i'j'}, \quad g^{I\bar{J}} := g^{ii'\bar{j}\bar{j}'} = a_{ij} b_{j'i'}.$$

with

$$a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + z^{ik'} \bar{z}^{jk'}, \quad b_{i'j'} = \delta_{i'j'} + \bar{z}^{kj'} z^{ki'}.$$

The Riemannian curvature

Definition

The Riemannian curvature of a Hermitian manifold M is defined as

$$R_{i\bar{j}k}{}^l = \partial_i \Gamma_{\bar{j}k}^l - \partial_{\bar{j}} \Gamma_{ik}^l + \Gamma_{\bar{j}k}^n \Gamma_{in}^l - \Gamma_{ik}^n \Gamma_{\bar{j}n}^l$$

where

$$\Gamma_{jk}^l = g^{l\bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{j\bar{q}}}{\partial z^k}$$

Fact

The Riemannian curvature of a Hermitian manifold M is obtained as

$$[\nabla_i, \nabla_{\bar{j}}] \nabla_{k_1} \cdots \nabla_{k_m} f = - \sum_{n=1}^m R_{ijk_n}{}^l \nabla_{k_1} \cdots \nabla_{k_{n-1}} \nabla_l \nabla_{k_{n+1}} \cdots \nabla_{k_m} f. \quad (12)$$

for $m \geq 1$.

Curvature with Fubini-Study metric

Fact (Curvature with Fubini-Study metric)

The curvature of a complex Grassmann manifold is

$$R_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{C}\bar{D}}{}_{\bar{B}} = g^{P\bar{C}} g^{Q\bar{D}} R_{\bar{A}PQ\bar{B}} = -\delta_{\bar{a}b'}^{\bar{c}} \delta_{\bar{b}a'}^{\bar{d}} - \delta_{\bar{b}a'}^{\bar{c}} \delta_{\bar{a}b'}^{\bar{d}}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\delta_{\bar{a}b'}^{\bar{c}d'} = \begin{cases} 1 & (a = c, b' = d') \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

From these facts, we can derive the recurrence relations to determine star products on the Grassmann manifolds.

Section 4

Deformation **quantization** for
complex Grassmann manifold

Deformation quantization for $\mathbb{C}P^N$

In this subsection, we obtain concrete expression of star products on $\mathbb{C}P^N$. A complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$ is a Grassmann manifold $G_{1,N}$ by definition.

Proposition

Let M be a complex projective space and f and g be smooth functions on M . The recurrence relation of $T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n$ given in (??) is

$$T_{\vec{\alpha}_n \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n = \sum_{d=1}^N \frac{\hbar g_{id}}{(1 + \hbar - \hbar n) \beta_i^n} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_d \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_i}^{n-1} \quad (14)$$

Deformation quantization for $\mathbb{C}P^N$

Theorem

Let f and g be smooth functions on a projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$. A star product with separation of variables on a projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$ is given as

$$f * g \tag{15}$$

$$= f \cdot g + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*} |G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}|^+ \left\{ \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{\hbar}{(1 + \hbar - \hbar k) \alpha_k^n! \beta_k^n!} \right\} (D^{\vec{\alpha}_n} f) (D^{\vec{\beta}_n^*} g).$$

where $|\cdot|^+$ is the permanent and $G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}$ is a matrix made of metrics.

Matrix made of metrics

Definition

A matrix $G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}$ is defined by using the Riemannian metrics on M . Its elements are metrics on M and are located as follows. $\vec{\alpha}_n$ and $\vec{\beta}_n$ are elements of \mathbb{Z}^N .

$$G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{11} & \cdots & \tilde{G}_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{G}_{n1} & \cdots & \tilde{G}_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_{pq} =: g_{p\bar{q}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M(\alpha_p^n, \beta_q^n; \mathbb{C})$$

Permanent

A function similar to the determinant is defined on the matrix space.

Definition (Permanent)

Let $C = (C_{k,l})_{1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq n}$ be a $n \times n$ matrix. We define $|\cdot|^+$ as a \mathbb{C} -valued function on $M(n, n; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$|C|^+ := \sum_{\sigma_n \in S_n} \prod_{k=1}^n C_{k, \sigma_n(k)}.$$

This is called “permanent”.

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{vmatrix}^+ = c_{11}c_{22} + c_{12}c_{21}$$

Fact

Let f and g be smooth functions on a projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$. A star product on a projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$ is given in [28] as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f \tilde{*} g &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1 - n + 1/\hbar) g^{\bar{j}_1 k_1} \cdots g^{\bar{j}_n k_n}}{n! \Gamma(1 + 1/\hbar)} \left(\nabla_{\bar{j}_1} \cdots \nabla_{\bar{j}_n} f \right) \left(\nabla_{k_1} \cdots \nabla_{k_n} g \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1 - n + 1/\hbar)}{n! \Gamma(1 + 1/\hbar)} \left(D^{k_1} \cdots D^{k_n} f \right) \left(\nabla_{k_1} \cdots \nabla_{k_n} g \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1 - n + 1/\hbar) g^{\bar{m}_1 k_1} \cdots g^{\bar{m}_n k_n}}{n! \Gamma(1 + 1/\hbar)} \left(D^{k_1} \cdots D^{k_n} f \right) \left(D^{\bar{m}_1} \cdots D^{\bar{m}_n} g \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

As mentioned in Section ??, the star product with separation of variables is uniquely determined. This fact means (15) coincides with (16). This coincidence is easily checked from Definition 11.

Deformation quantization for $G_{2,2}$

In this subsection, we derive the recurrence relation to obtain concrete expression of star products on a Grassmann manifold $G_{2,2}$. The inhomogeneous coordinates are $z^{11'}$, $z^{12'}$, $z^{21'}$ and $z^{22'}$. To decide the order of coordinates is useful in order to calculate the finite sum. We set the order: $11' < 12' < 21' < 22'$. In this subsection, j is used as “Not i ”. That means that if $i = 1$ then $j = 2$ and if $i = 2$ then $j = 1$. For example, if $I = ii' = 11'$, then $ij' = 12'$, $ji' = 21'$, $J = 22'$. If $I = ii' = 12'$, then $ij' = 11'$, $ji' = 22'$, $J = 21'$. A finite sum is defined as

$$\sum_{D=1}^4 a_D := a_{11'} + a_{12'} + a_{21'} + a_{22'}.$$

Deformation quantization for $G_{2,2}$

Theorem

Let f and g be smooth functions on $G_{2,2}$. The recurrence relation of $T_{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n$ given in (??) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_I (1 + \hbar - \hbar\beta_I^n - \hbar\beta_{j_i'}^n - \hbar\beta_{ij'}^n) T_{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}^n \\ & - \hbar (\beta_{j_i'}^n + 1) (\beta_{ij'}^n + 1) T_{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_J + \vec{e}_{j_i'} + \vec{e}_{j_i'} - \vec{e}_I}^n \\ & = \hbar g_{\bar{I}I} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_I, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_I}^{n-1} + \hbar g_{\bar{I}ij'} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_{ij'}, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_I}^{n-1} \\ & + \hbar g_{\bar{I}j_i'} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_{j_i'}, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_I}^{n-1} + \hbar g_{\bar{I}J} T_{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_J, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_I}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

for each I .

Star products on a noncommutative $G_{2,2}$ are determined by this formula recursively. For general $G_{p,q}$, the recurrence relations are determined in a similar way.

Conclusion

Thank you for your attention!

Conclusion

- 1 **The** deformation quantization with separation of variables \rightarrow You can make it by **algebraic recurrence relations** !
- 2 **Noncommutative Riemannian surfaces**
- 3 **Noncommutative Grassmann manifold**
 $\rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$: not so hard but \dots

Acknowledgments

A.S. was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05138.

Section

A function similar to the determinant “permanent”

A function similar to the determinant is defined on the matrix space.

Definition (permanent)

Let $C = (C_{k,l})_{1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq n}$ be a $n \times n$ matrix. We define $|\cdot|^+$ as a \mathbb{C} -valued function on $M(n, n; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$|C|^+ := \sum_{\sigma_n \in S_n} \prod_{k=1}^n C_{k, \sigma_n(k)}.$$

This is called “permanent”.

Example

Here we show some examples. These suggest some properties like determinant.

1

$$\begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{vmatrix}^+ = c_{11}c_{22} + c_{12}c_{21}$$

2

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} \end{vmatrix}^+ \\ &= c_{11}c_{22}c_{33} + c_{11}c_{23}c_{32} + c_{12}c_{21}c_{33} + c_{12}c_{23}c_{31} + c_{13}c_{21}c_{32} + c_{13}c_{22}c_{31} \\ &= c_{11} \begin{vmatrix} c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{32} & c_{33} \end{vmatrix}^+ + c_{12} \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & c_{13} \\ c_{31} & c_{33} \end{vmatrix}^+ + c_{13} \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{vmatrix}^+ \end{aligned}$$

Remark

Similar to a determinant

$$|^t C|^+ = |C|^+,$$

where ${}^t C$ is a transposed matrix of C .

Cofactor expansion of a permanent

Proposition

The following is a proposition similar to cofactor expansion of a determinant.

$$|C|^+ = \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1j} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{i1} & \cdots & c_{ij} & \cdots & c_{in} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \cdots & c_{nj} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{vmatrix}^+ = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & \cdots & \hat{c}_{1j} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{c}_{i1} & \cdots & \hat{c}_{ij} & \cdots & \hat{c}_{in} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \cdots & \hat{c}_{nj} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{vmatrix}^+$$

Matrix made of metrics

Definition

A matrix $G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}$ is defined by using the Riemannian metrics on M . Its elements are metrics on M and are located as follows. $\vec{\alpha}_n$ and $\vec{\beta}_n$ are elements of \mathbb{Z}^N .

$$G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{11} & \cdots & \tilde{G}_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{G}_{n1} & \cdots & \tilde{G}_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_{pq} =: g_{p\bar{q}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M(\alpha_p^n, \beta_q^n; \mathbb{C})$$

Cofactor expansion

From Proposition 0.11, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary (Cofactor expansion of a permanent)

For a matrix $G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*}$,

$$\left| G^{\vec{\alpha}_n, \vec{\beta}_n^*} \right|^+ = \sum_{J=1}^N \beta_J^n g_{Jl} \left| G^{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_l, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_J} \right|^+ = \sum_{K=1}^N \alpha_K^n g_{lK} \left| G^{\vec{\alpha}_n - \vec{e}_K, \vec{\beta}_n^* - \vec{e}_l} \right|^+.$$

$N = 2$ and $n = 2$

$N = 2$ and $n = 2$ are substituted in Theorem ???. The results are listed here. $\vec{\alpha}_2^*, \vec{\beta}_2^* \in \{(2, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2)\}$ and $i = \{1, 2\}$.

$$h^2 (g_{\bar{1}1})^2 = \left(2 + hR_1^{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(2,0),(2,0)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(2,0),(0,2)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} T_{(2,0),(1,1)}^2$$

$$h^2 (g_{\bar{1}2})^2 = \left(2 + hR_1^{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(0,2),(2,0)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(0,2),(0,2)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} T_{(0,2),(1,1)}^2$$

$$2h^2 g_{\bar{1}1} g_{\bar{1}2} = \left(2 + hR_1^{\bar{1}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(1,1),(2,0)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(1,1),(0,2)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} T_{(1,1),(1,1)}^2$$

$$h^2 g_{\bar{1}1} g_{\bar{2}1} = \left(1 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(2,0),(1,1)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(2,0),(2,0)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(2,0),(0,2)}^2$$

$$h^2 g_{\bar{1}2} g_{\bar{2}2} = \left(1 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(0,2),(1,1)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(0,2),(2,0)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(0,2),(0,2)}^2$$

$$h^2 g_{\bar{1}1} g_{\bar{2}2} + h^2 g_{\bar{2}1} g_{\bar{1}2} = \left(1 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(1,1),(1,1)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(1,1),(2,0)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(1,1),(0,2)}^2$$

$$h^2 (g_{\bar{2}1})^2 = \left(2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{2}}\right) T_{(2,0),(0,2)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(2,0),(2,0)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} T_{(2,0),(1,1)}^2$$

$$h^2 (g_{\bar{2}2})^2 = \left(2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{2}}\right) T_{(0,2),(0,2)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(0,2),(2,0)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} T_{(0,2),(1,1)}^2$$

$$2h^2 g_{\bar{2}1} g_{\bar{2}2} = \left(2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{2}}\right) T_{(1,1),(0,2)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(1,1),(2,0)}^2 + hR_2^{\bar{2}\bar{1}} T_{(1,1),(1,1)}^2$$

$$h^2 g_{\bar{1}1} g_{\bar{2}1} = \left(1 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(2,0),(1,1)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(2,0),(2,0)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(2,0),(0,2)}^2$$

$$h^2 g_{\bar{2}1} g_{\bar{2}2} = \left(1 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(0,2),(1,1)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(0,2),(2,0)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(0,2),(0,2)}^2$$

$$h^2 g_{\bar{2}1} g_{\bar{1}2} + h^2 g_{\bar{1}1} g_{\bar{2}2} = \left(1 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right) T_{(1,1),(1,1)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{1}\bar{1}} T_{(1,1),(2,0)}^2 + hR_1^{\bar{2}\bar{2}} T_{(1,1),(0,2)}^2.$$

There are multiple overlapping and tautological equations are omitted.

$N = 2$ and $n = 2$

With (??) these are the same as the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} (g_{\bar{1}1})^2 & g_{\bar{1}1}g_{\bar{2}1} & (g_{\bar{2}1})^2 \\ 2g_{\bar{1}1}g_{\bar{1}2} & g_{\bar{2}1}g_{\bar{1}2} + g_{\bar{1}1}g_{\bar{2}2} & 2g_{\bar{2}1}g_{\bar{2}2} \\ (g_{\bar{1}2})^2 & g_{\bar{2}1}g_{\bar{2}2} & (g_{\bar{2}2})^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_{(2,0),(2,0)}^2 & T_{(2,0),(1,1)}^2 & T_{(2,0),(0,2)}^2 \\ T_{(1,1),(2,0)}^2 & T_{(1,1),(1,1)}^2 & T_{(1,1),(0,2)}^2 \\ T_{(0,2),(2,0)}^2 & T_{(0,2),(1,1)}^2 & T_{(0,2),(0,2)}^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 + \hbar R_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{1}\bar{1}}_{\bar{1}} & \hbar R_{\bar{2}}^{\bar{1}\bar{1}}_{\bar{1}} & \hbar \\ \hbar R_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}_{\bar{1}} & 1 + \hbar R_{\bar{2}}^{\bar{2}\bar{1}}_{\bar{1}} & \hbar \\ \hbar R_{\bar{1}}^{\bar{2}\bar{2}}_{\bar{1}} & \hbar R_{\bar{2}}^{\bar{2}\bar{2}}_{\bar{1}} & 2 + \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

then Proposition 0.7 is proved.

The local coordinate can be defined in a similar way to S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu pp.160-162[19].

Let U be an open subset of $G_{p,q}$. A chart (U, ϕ) is defined by

$$U := \left\{ Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M(p+q, p; \mathbb{C}); |Y_0| \neq 0 \right\}$$



and

$$\phi : U \longrightarrow M(q, p; \mathbb{C})$$






where






$$\phi(Y) = Y_1 Y_0^{-1}.$$

This is a holomorphic map of U onto an open subset of $p \times q$ -dimensional complex space.






-  A. P. Balachandran, B. P. Dolan, J. -H. Lee, X. Martin and D. O'Connor, "Fuzzy complex projective spaces and their star products," J. Geom. Phys. **43**, 184 (2002) [hep-th/0107099].
-  Brian P. Dolan, Oliver Jahn "Fuzzy Complex Grassmannian Spaces and their Star Products," [hep-th/0111020].
-  F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, "Deformation Theory And Quantization. 1. Deformations Of Symplectic Structures," Annals Phys. **111** (1978) 61.
F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, "Deformation Theory And Quantization. 2. Physical Applications," Annals Phys. **111** (1978) 111.






bibliography II

-  P. Bieliavsky, S. Detournay and P. Spindel, “The Deformation quantizations of the hyperbolic plane,” Commun. Math. Phys. **289**, 529 (2009) [arXiv:0806.4741 [math-ph]].
-  M. Bordemann, M. Brischle, C. mmrich, S. Waldmann, “Phase Space Reduction for Star-Products: An Explicit Construction for $\mathbb{C}P^n$,” Lett. Math. Phys. **36** (1996), 357.
-  M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. Rawnsley, “Quantization of Kahler manifolds, II,” Am. Math. Soc. Transl. **337**, 73 (1993).
-  M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. Rawnsley, “Quantization of Kahler manifolds, IV,” Lett. Math. Phys **34**, 159 (1995).
-  M. De Wilde, P. B. A. Lecomte, “xistence of star-products and of formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifolds,” Lett. Math. Phys. **7**, 487 (1983).






-  B. Fedosov, “A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization,” J. Differential Geom. **40**, 213 (1994).
-  M. B. Halima, Tilmann Wurzbacher, “Fuzzy complex Grassmannians and quantization of line bundles” Semin. Univ. Hambg. (2010) 80: 59.
-  M. B. Halima, “Construction of certain fuzzy flag manifolds” Rev. Math. Phys. **22**, 533 (2010)
-  K. Hayasaka, R. Nakayama and Y. Takaya, “A New noncommutative product on the fuzzy two sphere corresponding to the unitary representation of $SU(2)$ and the Seiberg-Witten map,” Phys. Lett. B **553**, 109 (2003) [hep-th/0209240].
-  D. Karabali, V. P. Nair and S. Randjbar-Daemi, “Fuzzy spaces, the M(atric) model and the quantum Hall effect,” In *Shifman, M. (ed.) et al.: From fields to strings, vol. 1* 831-875 [hep-th/0407007].





bibliography IV

-  A. V. Karabegov, “On deformation quantization, on a Kahler manifold, associated to Berezin’s quantization,” *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **30**, 142 (1996).
-  A. V. Karabegov, “Deformation quantizations with separation of variables on a Kahler manifold,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180**, 745 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9508013].
-  A. V. Karabegov, “An explicit formula for a star product with separation of variables,” [arXiv:1106.4112 [math.QA]].
-  A. V. Karabegov, “PseudoKahler quantization on flag manifolds,” dg-ga/9709015.
-  Y. Kitazawa, “Matrix models in homogeneous spaces,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **642**, 210 (2002) [hep-th/0207115].

-  S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, “Foundation of Differential Geometry, volume II,” John Wiley and Sons, Inc , 1969
-  M. Kontsevich, “Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I,” Lett. Math. Phys. **66**, 157 (2003) [arXiv:q-alg/9709040].
-  Y. Maeda, A. Sako, T. Suzuki and H. Umetsu, “Deformation Quantization with Separation of Variables and Gauge Theories,” Proceedings, 33th Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics (XXXIII WGMP) : Bialowieza, Poland, June 29-July 5, 2014 ,p.135-144
-  C. Moreno, “ \ast -products on some Kähler manifolds”, Lett. Math. Phys. **11**, 361 (1986).
-  C. Moreno, “ Invariant star products and representations of compact semisimple Lie groups,” Lett. Math. Phys. **12**, 217 (1986).

bibliography VI

-  S. Murray and C. Saemann, “Quantization of Flag Manifolds and their Supersymmetric Extensions,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **12** (2008) no.3, 641 doi:10.4310/ATMP.2008.v12.n3.a5 [hep-th/0611328].
-  T. Ohsaku, “Algebra of noncommutative Riemann surfaces,” math-ph/0606057.
-  H. Omori, Y. Maeda, and A. Yoshioka, “Weyl manifolds and deformation quantization,” Adv. in Math. 85, 224 (1991).
-  A. Sako, T. Suzuki and H. Umetsu, “Explicit Formulas for Noncommutative Deformations of CP^N and CH^N ,” J. Math. Phys. **53**, 073502 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4030 [math-ph]].
-  A. Sako, T. Suzuki and H. Umetsu, “Noncommutative CP^N and CH^N and their physics,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **442**, 012052 (2013).

-  A. Sako, T. Suzuki and H. Umetsu, “Gauge theories on noncommutative $\mathbb{C}P^N$ and Bogomol’nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield-like equations,” J. Math. Phys. **56**, no. 11, 113506 (2015). [arXiv:1506.06957hep-th]
-  A. Sako and H. Umetsu, “Twisted Fock Representations of Noncommutative Kähler Manifolds,” arXiv:1605.02600 [math-ph].
-  M. Schlichenmaier, “Berezin-Toeplitz quantization and star products for compact Kähler manifolds,” Contemp. Math. **583** (2012) 257
-  M. Schlichenmaier, “Some naturally defined star products for Kähler manifold,” Trav. math. **20** (2012) 187