# Trautman-Bondi energy and its universality

Jerzy Kijowski Center for Theoretical Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw

#### Gravitational waves

1916 – Einstein describes gravitational waves. He uses linearized version of general relativity theory and derives his famous ``quadrupole formula".

 $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ 

Minkowski flat metric

perturbation

20' – 30' – Einstein begins to have doubts about validity of such an appraoch:

$$g = \frac{-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{-\cos(x-t)(2 + \cos(x-t))dt^2}$$
  
+2 cos(x - t) (1 + cos(x - t)) dtdx - cos<sup>2</sup>(x - t)dx<sup>2</sup>  
Minkowski flat metric

#### Gravitational waves

$$g = -dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}$$
  
- cos(x - t) (2 + cos(x - t)) dt<sup>2</sup>  
+2 cos(x - t) (1 + cos(x - t)) dtdx - cos<sup>2</sup>(x - t) dx<sup>2</sup>

Choose a new variable:  $\tilde{t} := t + \sin(t - x)$ 

$$g = -\mathrm{d}\tilde{t}^2 + \mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2 + \mathrm{d}z^2$$

Main difficulty: how to decouple ``gauge freedom" from the ``true degrees of freedom". (Einstein writes several papers.)

1937 – Einstein ``proves" that gravitational waves do not exist!

``Red light'': serious people do not believe in gravitational waves (including Leopold Infeld – father of the polish theoretical physics.)

## Gravitational waves

- ``Red light'': serious people do not believe in gravitational waves (including Leopold Infeld father of the polish theoretical physics.)
- 1958–Andrzej Trautman Ph.D thesis: formulation of conceptual framework and mathematical tools which are necessary to describe gravitational radiation.
  - 1) Radiation is not a local phenomenon.
  - 2) It is localized ``at infinity".
  - 3) Boundary conditions are fundamental: generalization of the ``Sommerfeld radiation conditon" in special relativity ("asymptotic flattness" in General Relativity).

1964 – Roger Penrose: conformal treatemant of infinity.



To each 2-dimensional slice we may assigne energy which has not been radiated yet ("Trautman-Bondi energy").

Idea of the conformal compactification:

$$g = -dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}$$
  

$$= -dt^{2} + dr^{2} + r^{2}d\sigma^{2}$$
  

$$u := t - r, \quad v := t + r.$$
  

$$g = -dudv + \frac{1}{4}(v - u)^{2}d\sigma^{2}$$
  

$$u := \tan U, \quad v := \tan V, \quad -\pi/2 < U, V < \pi/2.$$
  

$$g = \frac{1}{4\cos^{2}U\cos^{2}V} \left[ -dUdV + \frac{1}{4}\sin^{2}(V - U)d\sigma^{2} \right]$$
  

$$T = U + V, \quad R = V - U.$$
  

$$g = \frac{1}{4\cos^{2}U\cos^{2}V} \left[ -dT^{2} + dR^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sin^{2}Rd\sigma^{2} \right]$$
  

$$T \in [-\pi, \pi], \quad R \in [0, \pi - |t|].$$











## Universal propertis of radiation



Consider wave equation on Minkowski space:

$$\Box \phi = \left( -\mathrm{d}^2/\mathrm{d}t^2 + \Delta \right) \phi = 0$$

$$\Box \phi = \left( -\mathrm{d}^2/\mathrm{d}t^2 + \Delta \right) \phi = 0$$

Every solution of wave equation is uniquely determined by initial (Cauchy) data:

$$\phi(0, \vec{x}) = \varphi(\vec{x}), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(0, \vec{x}) = \pi(\vec{x}) .$$
  
$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t \cdot \overline{\varphi(S(\vec{x}, |t|))} \right) + t \cdot \overline{\pi(S(\vec{x}, |t|))} .$$

Mean value of the function over the sphere centered at  $\vec{x}$  whose radius is: |t|

"Huygens formula"



$$\phi(t,\vec{x}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t \cdot \overline{\varphi(S(\vec{x},|t|))} \right) + t \cdot \overline{\pi(S(\vec{x},|t|))} \; .$$



#### Point on the scri – "endpoint" of a light ray.

June 2, 2018



Point on the scri – "endpoint" of a light ray.

June 2, 2018



## Radiation data on Scri



### Radiation data on Scri



## Geometric structure of "Scri"



## Geometric structure of "Scri"



## Initial data vs. radiation data

**Theorem:** Transformation between initial (Cauchy) data  $(\pi, \varphi)$  and the radiation data F is a symplectomorphism (canonical transfrormation) of the two canonical structures:

$$\Omega_{Radiation} = \int \delta \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} \wedge \delta F d\tau d\mu$$
$$\Omega_{Radiation}(F,G) = \int \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} G d\tau d\mu$$

 $d\mu = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi$ measure on  $S^2$ 

The two structures can be treated as different representations of the same phase space describing possible field configurations.

## Initial data vs. radiation data

**Theorem:** Transformation between initial (Cauchy) data  $(\pi, \varphi)$  and the radiation data F is a symplectomorphism (canonical transfrormation) of the two canonical structures:

$$\Omega_{Cauchy} = \int \delta \pi(\vec{x}) \wedge \delta \varphi(\vec{x}) d^3 x$$
$$\{\pi(\vec{x}), \varphi(\vec{y})\} = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$$

$$\Omega = \delta p \wedge \delta q$$

$$\Omega_{Radiation} = \int \delta \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} \wedge \delta F d\tau d\mu$$
  

$$\{F(\tau, \theta, \varphi), F(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\varphi})\} = \delta(\theta - \tilde{\theta}) \delta(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) \delta'(\tau - \tilde{\tau})$$

$$d\mu = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi$$
  
measure on  $S^2$ 

The two structures can be treated as different representations of the same phase space describing possible field configurations.

## Lorentz invariance

$$\Omega_{Radiation} = \int \delta \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} \wedge \delta F d\tau d\mu$$

Symplectic structure in space of radiation data is not, a priori, Lorentz invariant: depends upon the choice of the time axis!

What happens if we change the time axis?

- 1) Time parameter changes.
- 2) Change of the volume element  $d\mu$  on the celestial sphere.
- 3) Change of the value of  $F(s) = \lim_{r \to \infty} r \cdot \phi(r, 0, 0, r)$

But, the miracle occurs:

$$\mathcal{F} := F \cdot \sqrt{d\mu}$$
  
remains unchaned!!!

$$\Omega_{Radiation} = \int \delta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau} \wedge \delta \mathcal{F} d\tau$$

## Field energy

Time evolution of the field is generated by the Hamiltonian (field energy).

In "Cauchy picture":

$$\dot{\varphi} = \pi = \frac{\delta \pi}{\delta \pi}$$
  
 $\dot{\pi} = \Delta \varphi = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \varphi}$ 

$$\Omega_{Cauchy} = \int \delta \pi \wedge \delta \varphi$$
$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \pi^2 + (\nabla \varphi)^2 \right) d^3 x \ge 0$$
$$-\varphi \Delta \varphi$$

 $\Omega_{Radiation} = \int \delta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau} \wedge \delta \mathcal{F} d\tau$ 

In "radiation picture":

time evolution = translation in parameter  $\tau$ 

571

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}} = \partial_{\tau} \mathcal{F} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathcal{P}} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H} = \int (\mathcal{P} \partial_{\tau} \mathcal{F}) d\tau \dot{\mathcal{P}} = \partial_{\tau} \mathcal{P} = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathcal{F}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H} = \int (\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{F})^2 d\tau \geq 0$$

(like momentum in the conventional Cauchy formulation)

#### Time evolution



#### Time evolution



#### Time evolution



## Cauchy problem on a hyperboloid



## Cauchy problem on a hyperboloid

$$\mathcal{H} = \int (\partial_{\tau} \mathcal{F})^{2} d\tau$$

$$\Omega_{Radiation} = \int \delta \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \tau} \wedge \delta \mathcal{F} d\tau$$

$$\mathcal{F}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{Cauchy} = \int \delta \pi \wedge \delta \varphi$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\pi^{2} + (\nabla \varphi)^{2}\right) d^{3}x$$











Geometry, Integrability and Quantization

## **Technicalities**

Technical features which are specific to General Relativity:

No null hyper-**planes** (but asymptotically...)

Meaningful physical quantities never defined by volume integrals but only by surface integrals.

Hence: shape of the hyperbolid does not matter!

What matters is its intersection with the Scri.

Cauchy energy density is given by a complete divergence of a " superpotential" (i.e. "Freud", "Landau-Lifshitz" or any other) and, whence, Trautman-Bondi energy can be calculated as a surface integral over any 2D surface on Scri.



June 2, 2018

