Riestearch Articile

pBlue and pYellow – TWO NOVEL CLONING VECTORS WITH MARKERS FOR VISUAL SELECTION OF TRANSFORMANTS

Dimitrova M.^{1*}, L. Denkova¹, M. Balinska¹, S. Peykov^{1,2}, S. G. Dimov¹

¹Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohriski" - Faculty of Biology, Depratment of Genetics, 8 Dragan Tzankov Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

²BioInfoTech Laboratory; Sofia Tech Park, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

Received: 20 March 2018 Accepted: 29 May 2018

Summary: Two novel plasmid cloning vectors, pBlue and pYellow, were constructed by substituting the *LacZ*' gene fragment of pUC19 with *amilCP* and *amilGFP* chromoprotein coding sequences obtained from the coral *Acropora millepora*. Both sequences were codon-optimized for expression in *Escherihia coli* and, when expressed, produced blue and yellow coloring, respectively. The substitution of the *LacZ*' gene fragment eliminates the need for adding X-Gal to the growth medium while keeping the same mode for positive transformants selection as the one used by the ancestral vector. As a proof of the concept, a 644 bp long fragment from the *dia-1* gene in *D. melanogaster* was cloned in our new vectors pBlue and pYellow using standard restriction and ligation techniques. Positive transformants were selected using the white color of the colonies as an indicator for a successful cloning event. All colonies that contained empty vectors developed the corresponding blue or yellow coloring in the process of cultivation, allowing their visual identification.

Keywords: Cloning vector; positive selection; chromoprotein; restriction free cloning.

Abbreviations: LIC – Ligation-Independent Cloning; RF – Restriction Free Cloning.

Citation: Dimitrova M., L. Denkova, M. Balinska, S. Peykov, S. G. Dimov, 2018. pBlue and pYellow – two novel cloning vectors with markers for visual selection of transformants. *Genetics and Plant Physiology*, 8(1–2): 51–61.

INTRODUCTION

The creation of recombinant DNA molecules is one of the milestones of modern molecular biology (Tirabassi, 2014). It relies on a set of techniques collectively known as molecular cloning which allow the insertion of foreign DNA fragments into appropriate host organisms. The foreign fragment needs to be inserted into a vector so it can

be maintained by the host organism. Naturally occurring plasmids were among the first used vectors but proved to be unsuitable for the needs of the molecular genetics (Cohen, 1993). A variety of artificial vectors were developed based on the naturally occurring plasmids. They made restriction-ligation cloning a straightforward if rather time consuming

^{*}Corresponding author: maria.dimitrova@uni-sofia.bg

technique (Balbás, 1986).

One of the major drawbacks of the classical cloning approach is the tendency of linearized vectors to selfcircularize without inserting a fragment. This necessitates the addition of a screening step for positive transformants to all cloning procedures. Some of the commonly used cloning vectors, such as the pUC derivatives rely on the use of a polylinker and a selection marker such as the LacZ' (Vieira and Messing, 1991). However, those vectors require an inducer (IPTG) and an artificial substrate (X-Gal) to allow color-based selection of positive transformants. In our work, we propose a substitution of the LacZ'gene fragment with coding sequences for *amilCP* (blue chromoprotein from coral Acropora millpora) and amilGFP (green fluorescent protein in coral Acropora millepora). Both these genes encode related chromoproteins that lead to development of intensive blue and yellow colors in this organism. The corresponding colors can be easily distinguished with a naked eye. Substituting the LacZ' region with chromoprotein sequence of this type will eliminate the need of additional chemical substrate for color formation while preserving the advantages of the original pUC19 vector.

Despite its simplicity, our proposal faces some technical challenges. All commonly used restriction endonucleases in pUC19 are located inside the polylinker region thus eliminating the possibility to clone the chromoprotein coding sequence using classical restriction and ligation dependant cloning procedure. To overcome this issue we focused our attention on the rapidly emerging field of novel cloning methods. In the past few decades many new approaches have emerged that shirk some of the major drawbacks of restriction-ligation cloning (Aslanidis et al., 1990; Erijman et al., 2011; van den Ent and Lowe, 2006). They are cheaper and quicker and allow seamless cloning which eliminates additional amino acids in the protein products of the cloned sequences. The first developed novel approach is Ligation-*i*ndependent cloning (LIC) (Eschenfeldt et al., 2009), which gives rise to a variety of homology and PCR based techniques such Sequence and Ligation Independent Cloning (SLIC) al., 2012), *P*olymerase (Jeong et *Incomplete P*rimer *E*xtension (PIPE) (Klock et al., 2009) and Restriction Free cloning (Bryksin et al., 2010).

In this research we selected the restriction free (RF) cloning which allows inserting any fragment in any specific vector location (Chen et al., 2000). It relies on a two stage process with two PCR reactions prior to transformation (Fig. 1). The first PCR creates the so called megaprimer that contains the insert and flanking sequences complementary to the insertion site of the vector. This megaprimer is then used in the second PCR with the chosen vector. To ensure that the recipient cells are transformed only with the construct containing the insert, treatment with DpnI, was performed. DpnI enzyme discriminates DNA targets based on their its methylation status, allowing elimination of the initial empty plasmid used as PCR matrix. This methodology avoids the use of restriction or modification enzymes as well as expensive cloning kits (Unger et al., 2010).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and mediums

E. coli DH5 α was used as a recipient in the transformation experiments. It was grown on LB medium without supplements prior to transformation and on LB with ampicillin (100ng/µl) after transformation.

E. coli transformation

E. coli JW1 competent cells were prepared using the CaCl₂ procedure described by Sambrook (1989). The produced competent cells were stored at -80°C as 200 μ l aliquots. Transformation was carried out according to Sambrook (1989) using 10 ng plasmid DNA.

Primers used in this study

All of the primers and gene constructs used in the current experiment were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, USA). Their sequences and general characteristics are shown in Table 1. The underlined

 Table 1. Primers used and their characteristics.

sequences are complementary to the pUC19 vector, while the bold ones – to the chromoproteins' sequences. All primers for the RF-cloning procedure were prepared using the specialized free online software at http://www.rf-cloning.org.

Codon optimization and gene synthesis

The original protein sequences of *amilCP* and *amilGFP* were obtained from NCBI (AAU06854.1 and AAU06846.1). Coding sequences for efficient chromoprotein expression in *E. coli* were designed from them using the Codon Optimization On-Line Tool (COOL) (Chin et al., 2014). Both resulting DNA fragments, together with all corresponding elements for translational initiation and termination, were synthesized as gBlock fragments by IDT.

Preparation of plasmid DNA

Plasmid DNA from *E. coli* was extracted using GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™]) according to the manufacturer's

Primer	Sequence	T°_{an}	Function
amilCP_pUC19_F	5'- <u>GGTGTCGGGGGCTGGCTTAAC</u> TTATC	60°C	Amplification
	ATGCCACCACTGGTTTA-3'		of amilCP
amilCP_pUC19_R	3'- <u>GAATTCACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACAA</u>	60°C	Amplification
	ATGTCGGTGATCGCGAAAC-5'		of amilCP
amilGFP_pUC19_F	5'- <u>CGGGTGTCGGGGCTGGCTTAAC</u> TTA	60°C	Amplification
	TTATTTCACTTTCAGCGGATTC-3'		of amilGFP
amilGFP_pUC19_R	3'- <u>CGAATTCACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACA</u>	60°C	Amplification
	AATGAGCTACAGCAAACAAGGC-5'		of amilGFP
BamHIAhdI_Dia1F	5'- TTGGATCCGACAATAAGTCGGACTG	60°C	Amplification
	CAGAGTTACGG-3'		of dia-1
EcoRIAhdI_Dia1R	5'- TTGAATTCGACAATAAGTCGCGGTA	60°C	Amplification
	TCCAACTTCTCGG-3'		of <i>dia-1</i>

specifications from overnight cultures grown on LB + ampicillin medium.

PCR

Phusion Flash PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM) was used for amplification of both amilCP and amilGFP gene constructs under the following conditions: 2x Phusion Flash PCR Master Mix, 0.2 µM amilCP pUC19 F/amilGFP pUC19 F forward 0.2 µM amilCP pUC19 R/ primer. amilGFP pUC19 R reverse primer and 10 ng amilCP or amilGFP gene constructs in a total volume of 50.0 µl with the following amplification protocol: initial denaturation at 98°C for 30 sec. followed by 24 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 sec, annealing at 60°C for 30 sec and amplification at 72°C for 30 sec followed by a final amplification step at 72°C for 5 min and hold at 4°C. The PCR products were purified using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit. The PCR products were used as megaprimers for the RF substitution of LacZ' in the pUC19 vector. The DNA concentration of the purified PCR products was determined approximately using 1% agarose electrophoresis with a 100 bp MassRuler[™] Express DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM) using a densitometry approach using GeneTools software. The D. melanogaster diaphorase 1 gene fragment (dia-1) was amplified BamHIAhdI Dia1F using the and EcoRIAhdI Dia1R primer pair generating fragment with total size of 644 bp which has BamHI and EcoRI recognition sites at its ends. The reaction mix included 10x Taq buffer (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM), 2 mM MgCl₂ (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM), 0.2 µM primers, 5U/µl Taq polymerase

and D. melanogaster genomic DNA in total volume of 50.0 µl. We used the following amplification protocol: initial denaturation at 94°C for 10 min, followed by 38 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 60°C for 1 min and amplification at 72°C for 1 min followed by a final amplification step at 72°C for 3 min and hold at 4°C. The PCR products were purified using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro. The DNA concentration of the purified PCR products was determined using 1.2% agarose analytical gel electrophoresis with a Lambda DNA/HindIII molecular weight marker.

Molecular cloning techniques

The substitution of the LacZ' gene in pUC19 with the synthesized amilCP and amilGFP sequences was performed using RF cloning as presented in Fig. 1. Two separate PCRs were performed - one for each substitution. The reaction mix contained 2x Phusion Flash PCR Master Mix, 50 ng megaprimer and 20 ng pUC19 vector in a total volume of 50.0 µl. We used the following amplification protocol: initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 60°C for 1 min and amplification at 72°C for 3 min followed by a final amplification step at 72°C for 7 min and hold at 4°C. The PCR products were purified using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit. After purification the products were treated with *DpnI* (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™]) to remove the unmodified pUC19 vector and then transformed into competent E. coli cells. Positive transformants were selected based on color development and these results were further confirmed via



Figure 1. Schematic representation of restriction-free (RF) cloning. **A**. The plasmid used in the RF procedure and the megaprimer which contains the desired insert. The vector molecule is isolated from a dam+ *E. coli* strain. The desired insert is flanked by homologous to the vector insertion site sequences responsible for the proper annealing and precise insertion of the fragment during the second round of PCR. **B.** The megaprimer provides 3'-OH ends for linear amplification reaction. It extends and integrates the desired insert into the vector resulting in a nicked, circular DNA molecule. **C.** The parental vector is digested with *DpnI* treatment. This enzyme cuts in every available GATC site but only if the sequence is methylated. The newly synthesized molecules from point B are not methylated and thus resistant to *DpnI*. **D.** The double nicked circular plasmid with the desired insert is transformed into competent cells.

screening using Colony PCR with primers specific for the vectors (Table 1).

Next, a 644 bp long *dia-1* test fragment was cloned in our new vectors, named pBlue and pYellow, respectively. All DNA molecules were double digested with *BamHI* and *EcoRI* to create compatible sticky ends. The restriction was carried out according to the manufacturer's specifications (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM) and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Both vectors were dephosphorylated using alkaline dephosphorylase (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM). Two separate ligation reactions were carried out with different insert: vector ratio – 6:1 for pBlue and 3:1 for pYellow using T4 DNA ligase in 10 μ l total volumes and incubated for 22 h at 18°C. The whole volume of the reactions was used to transform the recipient cells.

Screening for successful cloning in the new vectors was carried out with Colony PCR with primers specific for the dia-1 gene.

RESULTS

Codon optimization of chromoprotein sequences from *Acropora millepora* for expression in *E. coli*

Due to the preference of different organisms to specific codons simply cloning a gene from one organism into another usually leads to poor protein expression. The more the evolutionary distance between the host organism and the donor, the more likely it is to come



Figure 2. PCR amplification of *amilCP* and *amilGFP* gBlock fragments. Line 1 - 100 bp MassRulerTM Express DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM; 100 – 3000 bp); line 2 - amilCP amplification product (714 bp expected size); line 3 - amilGFP amplification product (747 bp expected size).

across this problem. The *amilCP* and *amilGFP* genes originate from a coral (*Acropora millepora*). This necessitated a significant optimization of the nucleotide sequence of those genes before they can be cloned into a prokaryotic organism such as *E. coli*. The results of the optimizations we performed are given in the *Supplementary material* section. As it can be seen while there were significant differences in the nucleotide sequences of the original and optimized versions, the amino acid sequences remained the same.

Substitution of *LacZ*' with *amilCP* and *amilGFP* in pUC19 through RF cloning

RF cloning relies on homology between the vector and insert which is introduced in the ends of the insert through the primers during the first round of amplification (Fig. 1). Because of this the primer design is of the utmost importance. Following amplification with the two sets of primers (amilCP pUC19 F – amilCP pUC19 R and amilGFP pUC19 F amilGFP pUC19 R, respectively) the products were purified using preparative gel electrophoresis and the resulting megaprimers were visualized on 1% agarose gel (Fig. 2). Distinct bands with the appropriate length corresponding to the lengths of the amplicons can be seen. The concentration was determined to be 50 ng/µl. Both primers used to generate the megaprimer fragments were designed to create a homology with pUC19 downstream of the lac promoter. This sequence homology maintained the substitution of the LacZ' gene fragment with a chromoprotein coding sequence. The precise positions of the chromoprotein insertions in pUC19 are 146 and 376. RF

cloning provides improved control of the insertion positions and avoids the creation of "scars" that could create problems during translation. The megaprimers are used in a second round of amplification that creates a mix of unmodified and modified vectors. The methylated by the host cells unmodified vectors used as PCR matrix are removed through *DpnI* digestion prior to the direct transformation with the reaction mixture into chemically competent cells. The resulting colonies show color depending on the type of vector used for their transformation.

Screening and transformation efficiency of pBlue and pYellow

Transformation efficiencies of 3.54×10^5 cfu/µg for the pBlue and 3.37×10^5 cfu/µg for the pYellow were calculated. The corresponding efficiency for pUC19 was estimated to be 3.67 x10⁵ cfu/ μ g.

To prove the successful substitution we used two types of screening. The first type is based on the color of the colonies. Eight random transformants of both plasmids were plated on sector plates with the appropriate medium and left to incubate at 37°C. During the first 24-36 h there was no coloration of the colonies but after storage at 4°C some colonies started to reveal a pale color. All 8 pYellow transformants carried the desired substitution and 4 out of 8 of the pBlue were positive. The second type of screening used colony PCR with one vector primer and one that anneals to the chromoprotein sequence. Seven colonies from both plates were chosen for this round of screening – two blue, three yellow and two with no color. As expected, five of the samples that had color also showed the expected lengths of



Figure 3. Colony PCR screening for *dia-1* insert in pBlue and pYellow. Line 1 - 100 bp MassRulerTM Express DNA Ladder (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM; 100 – 3000 bp); line 2 – empty pBlue vector (control); line 3 – empty pYellow vector (control); lines 4,6 – pBlue-*dia-1* positive clones (1393 bp expected size); line 5 - pBlue-*dia-1* negative clone; 7,8,9 – pYellow-*dia-1* positive clones (1423 bp expected size).

774 and 804 bp for pBlue and pYellow, correspondingly.

Next, we selected and amplified a 644 bp long fragment from the *dia-1* gene in *D. melanogaster*. It was cloned into both new vectors pBlue and pYellow using the classical cloning procedure with restriction and ligation. We selected positive transformants relying on the screening for white colonies resulting from insertional inactivation in a manner similar to pUC19 *LacZ* selection. After transformation, plating and incubation overnight at 37°C, 3 random pBlue colonies and 3 random pYellow colonies

were screened using colony PCR for the *dia-1* insert. The results are shown in Fig. 3. As negative controls we used colonies transformed with the new vectors. The results of the colony PCR showed that 5 out of the 6 chosen colonies carried the *dia-1* insert which gave amplicon of 1393/1423 bp (pBlue/pYellow). These results were in agreement with our initial expectations, indicating that positive transformants in both new vectors can be isolated using the white color of the colonies as main selection criteria. All vector features and selection requirements are shown in Fig. 4.

В



Figure 4. pBlue and pYellow – vector maps and colour based screening procedures. **A.** Vector map of pUC19, pBlue and pYellow. All identical important regions are marked as follows – ori (high copy number pUC origin of replication), lac promoter, ATG (initiation codon for β -gal fragment/chromoprotein), MCS (polylinker region with target sites for restriction endonucleases), AmpR promoter and beta-lactamase (responsible for Ampicilin resistance). The LacZ' found in the original pUC19 vector is given as part of the plasmid and the corresponding AmilCP and AmilGFP sequences in pBlue and pYellow are presented above it. **B.** Difference in colour based selection. All vectors form the corresponding colours on media with X-Gal but only colonies with pBlue and pYellow are colored on media without this compound.

A

DISCUSSION

Restriction free cloning is an efficient way for fast, cheap and precise way of cloning fragments of a few hundred bases. It can be easily modified to serve different purposes such as substitutions, exchange of fragments or creating DNA constructs without the need for specialized kits and also requires little other than PCR equipment (Bond and Naus. 2012). Here we describe the construction of a couple of working cloning vectors, named pYellow and pBlue. These pUC19 derivatives allow direct color based selection of positive transformants. Their functionality was demonstrated by cloning fragments of the *dia-1* gene into them. The high transformation efficiencies, similar to those shown by the ancestral vector, make pBlue and pYellow useful tools for molecular cloning. The substitution of the LacZ' gene fragment with a chromoprotein's coding sequence permits the use of visual color based selection in a wider set of hosts as it does not depend on α-complementation. Moreover, no additional small molecules are required for color formation leading to simpler and cheaper cloning procedures (Langley et al., 1975). The relatively slow color development and the paleness of the two newly developed vectors can be pointed as a partial drawback. Possible explanations for this phenomenon can be the used relatively weak promoter and/ or a chromoprotein miss-folding due to the altered N-terminus of the encoded chromoprotein by the added polylinker sequence (Baneyx and Mujacic, 2004). However, the mentioned downsides are compensated by the more elegant screening procedure which does not require the use of specific deletion mutant host strains. Moreover, the selectable growth media requires only addition of ampicillin but not the supplementation of X-gal, making it more cost efficient for preparation.

REFERENCES

- Aslanidis C., P. J. Jong, 1990. De. Ligation-independent cloning of PCR products (LIC-POR). 18: 6069–6074.
- Bachmann BJ, 1972. Pedigrees of some mutant strains of Escherichia coli K-12. Bacteriol Rev, 36: 525-57.
- Balbás P, Soberón X, Merino E, Zurita M, Lomeli H, Valle F, Flores N, Bolivar F., 1986. Plasmid vector pBR322 and its special-purpose derivatives-a review. Gene, 50: 3–40.
- Baneyx F., M. Mujacic, 2004. Recombinant protein folding and misfolding in Escherichia coli. Nat Biotechnol, 22(11): 1399–408.
- Bond S., C. Naus, 2012. RF-Cloning. org: an online tool for the design of restriction-free cloning projects. Nucleic Acids Res, 40(Web Server issue): W209–13.
- Bryksin A.V., I. Matsumura, 2010. Overlap extension PCR cloning: a simple and reliable way to create recombinant plasmids. Biotechniques, 48(6): 463–465.
- Celie P.H., A.H. Parret, A. Perrakis, 2016. Recombinant cloning strategies for protein expression. Curr Opin Struct Biol., 38: 145–154.
- Chen G.J. et al., 2000. Restriction site-free insertion of PCR products directionally into vectors. Biotechniques, 28(3):

498-500, 504-5.

- Chin J.X., B.K. Chung, D.Y. Lee, 2014. Codon Optimization OnLine (COOL): a web-based multi-objective optimization platform for synthetic gene design. Bioinformatics, 30(15): 2210–2.
- Cohen S. N., 1993. Bacterial plasmids: their extraordinary contribution to molecular genetics. Gene, 135: 67–76.
- Cohen S. N., Chang, A. C. Y., Boyer, H. W., Helling, R. B., 1973. Construction of Biologically Functional Bacterial Plasmids In Vitro. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, 70: 3240–3244.
- Erijman A., Dantes, A., Bernheim, R., Shifman, J. M., Peleg, Y., 2011. Transfer-PCR (TPCR): A highway for DNA cloning and protein engineering. J. Struct. Biol., 175: 171–177.
- Eschenfeldt W.H. et al., 2009. A family of LIC vectors for high-throughput cloning and purification of proteins. Methods Mol Biol., 498: 105–115.
- Green, R., Rogers, E. J. Transformation of Chemically Competent E. coli. Laboratory Methods in Enzymology: DNA 529, (Elsevier Inc., 2013).
- Jeong JY, Yim HS, Ryu JY, Lee HS, Lee JH, Seen DS, Kang SG., 2012. One-step sequence- and ligation-independent cloning as a rapid and versatile cloning method for functional genomics studies. Appl Environ Microbiol., 78(15): 5440–378.
- Klock HE, Lesley SA., 2009. The

Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension (PIPE) method applied to high-throughput cloning and sitedirected mutagenesis. Methods Mol Biol., 498: 91–103.

- Langley K.E., et al., 1975 Molecular basis of beta-galactosidase alphacomplementation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 72(4): 1254–1257.
- Roberts DB, 1998. Drosophila. A Practical Approach, Second ed., Oxford University Press, New York.
- Sambrook J., Fritsch E., Maniatis T., 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Tirabassi R., 2014. Foundations of Molecular Cloning - Past, Present and Future. New England BioLabs. https:// www.neb.com/tools-and-resources/ feature-articles/foundations-ofmolecular-cloning-past-present-andfuture
- Unger T. et al., 2010. Applications of the Restriction Free (RF) cloning procedure for molecular manipulations and protein expression. J Struct Biol., 172(1): p. 34–44.
- van den Ent, F., J. Lowe, 2006. RF cloning : A restriction-free method for inserting target genes into plasmids. 67: 67–74.
- Vieira J, Messing J., 1991. New pUCderived cloning vectors with different selectable markers and DNA replication origins. Gene, 100: 189– 94.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

>amilCP codon-optimized sequence for expression in *E. coli*; total size 669 bp; 5' ATG and two in frame stop codons at 3' end are included

>amilGFP codon-optimized sequence for expression in *E. coli*; total size 699 bp; 5' ATG and two in frame stop codons at 3' end are included ATGAGCTACAGCAAACAAGGCATTGTGCAGGAAATGAAAACCAAATAC-CATATGGAAGGGTCCGTCAACGGTCATGAATTTACCATTGAAGGC-GTGGGCACCGGTTATCCTTATGAAGGCAAGCAGATGAGTGAACTGGTGAT-TATCAAACCTGCTGGTAAACCGTTGCCGTTTTCATTTGATATCCTCTCT-GTCTTCCAGTACGGCAATCGCTGCTTTACTAAATATCCGGCAGATATGCCG-GACTATTTTAAACAGGCGTTCCCGGATGGCATGTCGTACGAACGCAGCTTTT-TATTCGAAGATGGTGCGGTGGCGACCGCCAGCTGGAATATTCGTCTG-GAAGGTAACTGTTTTATTCATAAAAGCATTTTCCACGGCGTTAACTTCCCC-GCCGACGGCCCGGTGATGAAAAAAAGACCATCGACTGGGATAAATC-GTTTGAAAAAATGACCGTCAGTAAAGAAGTGCTGCGCGGCGATGTCAC-CATGTTCCTGATGCTGGAGGGTGGCGGCAGCCACCGTTGCCAGTTTCATTC-CACCTATAAAACGGAAAAGCCGGTAACGCTGCCGCCGAACCACGTTGTT-GAACATCAGATTGTTCGTACCGATCTGGGGGCAAAGCGCGAAAGGCTTCAC-CGTTAAACTGGAAGCGCACGCCGCAGCGCATGTGAATCCGCTGAAAGT-GAAATAATAA